



## Everyday Champion

**Note: This document is NOT a nomination form.** It is intended only as a reference to help you choose a category for your Quality Awards nomination and understand the information you will need to provide. Nominations must be submitted using our online nomination platform. We do not accept Word/PDF nominations via email.

### PHASE 1

#### Provide a 500-Word Summary

Tell us about your nominee. Your description should include the following:

1. The nominee
2. The nominee's work and problem(s) they solved
3. How the nominee improved quality
4. How the nominee showed passion and commitment for improving quality of care
5. How the nominee inspires others

#### Judging Criteria

Judges will score your summary based on the following framework. Summaries which score an average of 30 and above will be invited to move on to phase 2 of the nomination process.

**CONTEXT & RELEVANCE (10):** The context in which the nominee's work took place is clearly defined.

**INTERVENTION (15):** The activities undertaken to respond to the problem or opportunity are described.

**INSPIRATION (10):** The way in which the nominee inspired others is described.

**MEASUREMENT (10):** Evidence they will provide, if invited to phase 2 of the nomination process, is described.

**ENGAGEMENT (5):** Who the nominee engaged, and how, is described.

## PHASE 2

### Nomination Questions

If you are invited to move on to phase 2, these are the questions you will be asked to answer:

#### Section 1: Improving the Quality of Care

Briefly summarize the nominee's work. **(150 words maximum)**

Explain the nominee's work, and the problem(s) they solved, in more detail. How did the nominee demonstrate outstanding leadership? **(1,000 words maximum)**

How did the nominee improve quality for people and/or communities? Please note the dimension(s) of quality which were addressed. *For more information on the dimensions of quality, please review the [BC Heath Quality Matrix](#).* **(1,000 words maximum)**

#### Section 2: Inspiration

How did the nominee show a passion and commitment for improving quality of care? **(500 words maximum)**

Explain how the nominee inspires you and/or their team members. **(500 maximum)**

#### Section 3: Evidence

Provide clear evidence that the nominee has improved the quality of care. Evidence of results can be quantitative or qualitative. **(1,000 words maximum)**

## Judging Criteria

Judges will score nominations based on the following framework.

### 1. Improving the Quality of Care

/ 20

The nominee has demonstrated leadership in quality improvement in one or more of the following dimensions of quality as defined by the [BC Health Quality Matrix](#):

- Respect – Honouring a person’s choices, needs and values.
  - Safety – Avoiding harm and fostering security.
  - Accessibility – Ease with which health and wellness services are reached.
  - Appropriateness – Care that is specific to a person’s or community’s context.
  - Effectiveness – Care that is known to achieve intended outcomes.
  - Equity – Fair distribution of services and benefits according to population need.
  - Efficiency – Optimal and sustainable use of resources to yield maximum value.
- 

### 2. Inspiration

/ 15

The nominee showed a passion and commitment for improving quality of care, even though they may not work in a role that specifies participation in quality improvement activities or in a formal leadership position.

The nominee inspires others and leads by example.

---

### 3. Evidence

/ 10

There is clear qualitative and/or quantitative evidence that the nominee improved the quality of care provided to patients.

Qualitative evidence provides descriptive information that showcases individual experiences related to the nominee’s work. Examples include comments, stories and anecdotes taken from open-ended survey results, focus group discussions, interviews, or letters from patients, family members and/or staff.

Quantitative evidence involves numerical data that provide evidence of the results of the nominee’s work. Examples of quantitative evidence could include cost savings, lower infection rates, reduced number of falls, shorter wait times, or numerical survey results, such as increased ratings of satisfaction with a service.

Depending on the nature of the nominee’s work, you may find it most appropriate or feasible to focus on qualitative evidence over quantitative evidence, or vice versa. Both can be equally valuable in demonstrating the impact of the nominee’s work!

---

*Criteria continued on next page...*

**4. Quality of Submission**

**/ 5**

The submission contains minimal spelling and grammatical errors, jargon and acronyms.  
The submission is presented coherently.

---

**Total**

**/ 50**