



## The Doug Cochrane Leadership in Quality Award

**Note: This document is NOT a nomination form.** It is intended only as a reference to help you choose a category for your Quality Awards nomination and understand the information you will need to provide. Nominations must be submitted using our online nomination platform. We do not accept Word/PDF nominations via email.

### PHASE 1

#### Provide a 500-Word Summary

Tell us about your nominee. Your description should include the following:

1. The nominee
2. The nominee's work and problem(s) they solved
3. How the nominee demonstrated outstanding leadership
4. How the nominee inspires others
5. Who the nominee engaged, and how
6. Evidence they will provide, if invited to proceed to phase 2 of the nomination process, to show how the nominee improved quality

#### Judging Criteria

Judges will score your summary based on the following framework. Summaries which score an average of 30 and above will be invited to move on to phase 2 of the nomination process.

**CONTEXT & RELEVANCE (10):** The context in which the nominee's work took place is clearly defined.

**INTERVENTION (10):** The activities undertaken to respond to the problem or opportunity are described.

**INSPIRATION (10):** How the nominee demonstrated outstanding leadership in improving quality for people and/or communities is described.

**ENGAGEMENT (10):** Who the nominee engaged, and how, is described.

**MEASUREMENT (10):** Evidence they will provide, if invited to phase 2 of the nomination process, is described.

## PHASE 2

### Nomination Questions

If you are invited to move on to phase 2, these are the questions you will be asked to answer:

#### Section 1: Improving the Quality of Care

Briefly summarize the nominee's work. **(150 words maximum)**

Explain the nominee's work, and the problem(s) they solved, in more detail. **(1,000 words maximum)**

How did the nominee improve quality for people and/or communities? Please note the dimension(s) of quality which were addressed. *For more information on the dimensions of quality, please review the [BC Health Quality Matrix](#).* **(1,000 words maximum)**

#### Section 2: Leadership and Communication

How has the nominee demonstrated outstanding leadership? **(750 words maximum)**

How has the nominee inspired others to improve care? **(500 words maximum)**

#### Section 3: Sustainability

How will the nominee's work be sustained, if applicable? **(1,000 words maximum)**

#### Section 4: Engagement

Who did the nominee engage so that the nominee's work would be successful and sustainable? How were they engaged? **(1,000 words maximum)**

#### Section 5: Evidence

Provide clear evidence that the nominee has improved the quality of care. Evidence of results can be quantitative or qualitative. **(1,000 words maximum)**

## Judging Criteria

Judges will score nominations based on the following framework.

---

<b>1. Impact</b>	<b>/ 15</b>
The nominee demonstrated significant leadership advancing quality, as defined by the <a href="#">BC Health Quality Matrix</a> , in BC's health system.	
<b>2. Leadership</b>	<b>/ 15</b>
The nominee provided outstanding leadership over a lifetime of service and exemplified professionalism and leadership in a variety of ways, including open communication, collaboration, cooperation, commitment and integrity.	
<b>3. Evidence</b>	<b>/15</b>
There is clear qualitative and/or quantitative evidence that the nominee improved the quality of care provided to patients.	
Qualitative evidence provides descriptive information that showcases individual experiences related to the nominee's work. Examples include comments, stories and anecdotes taken from open-ended survey results, focus group discussions, interviews, or letters from patients, family members and/or staff.	
Quantitative evidence involves numerical data that provide evidence of the results of the nominee's work. Examples of quantitative evidence could include cost savings, lower infection rates, reduced number of falls, shorter wait times, or numerical survey results, such as increased ratings of satisfaction with a service.	
Depending on the nature of the nominee's work, you may find it most appropriate or feasible to focus on qualitative evidence over quantitative evidence, or vice versa. Both can be equally valuable in demonstrating the impact of the nominee's work!	
<b>4. Quality of Submission</b>	<b>/ 5</b>
The submission contains minimal spelling and grammatical errors, jargon and acronyms. The submission is presented coherently.	
<b>Total</b>	<b>/ 50</b>